	1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN DIVISION
	NORTHERN DIVISION
3	
4	SHAWN MACKEY PLAINTIFF
5	V. CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:23-CV-233-DPJ-ASH
6	CIVILI ACTION NO. 3;23-CV-233-DFU-ABR
7	JOHN PIGOTT, ET AL. DEFENDANTS
8	
9	ODAL DEPOCIETON OF MILITAM DOD CLAMBER
10	ORAL DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM POE SYMMES
11	
12	Taken at the instance of the Plaintiff on Tuesday,
13	September 17, 2024, in the Office of the Mississippi Attorney General, 550 High Street,
14	13th Floor, Jackson, Mississippi, beginning at 9:34 a.m.
15	
16	
17	(Appearances noted herein)
18	
19	
20	
21	REPORTED BY: Kelly D. Brentz, CSR-MS, CSR-TX, RPR AW Reporting, LLC
22	338 Indian Gate Circle Ridgeland, Mississippi 39157
23	kelly@awreporting.com 601-573-0961
24	
25	

_	
1	a lot of the information electronic now as opposed to a
2	newspaper arriving at your door.
3	Q. Did you participate in the interview of Kell
4	Smith?
5	MS. JOHNSON: Object to the form.
6	A. Which interview?
7	Q. (By Ms. Ross) Well, any interview?
8	A. I did.
9	Q. Okay. And tell me how many interviews.
10	A. I attended the three finalists' interviews which
11	I believe was on January 19th of 2023.
12	Q. And this was for the executive director's
13	position?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Did you participate in an interview of Kell
16	Smith for the interim executive director's position?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Did you participate in a vote to name Kell Smith
19	interim director?
20	A. I did.
21	Q. Did you take any notes during the interviews?
22	A. I remember looking at the resumes. I don't know
23	if I took notes or not. I don't recall taking any notes.
24	Q. Who were the three finalists whose interview you
25	sat in on?

1	A. Steve Miller, Kell Smith, Dr. Mackey.
2	Q. How did the process work the selection
3	process? Because you said you sat in on the finalists'
4	interviews. Does that mean that you didn't sit in on any
5	other interviews?
6	A. There was a committee formed that dealt with
7	most of it, and then all of the trustees were invited to
8	attend the finalists' interviews, and those were the ones
9	I attended.
10	Q. I'm going to show you some documents y'all
11	produced and ask you, does any of this handwriting belong
12	to you?
13	(Pause.)
14	A. No, much neater than I can write.
15	Q. It's Document 700 and 702, 706, 709 and 710,
16	MCCB documents. So
17	MS. JOHNSON: Is that an exhibit?
18	MS. ROSS: No, it's not. If you want to look at
19	it, I'm saying for the record what it is.
20	MS. JOHNSON: Let me just write those numbers
21	down.
22	MS. ROSS: Okay.
23	Q. (By Ms. Ross) So how did the how were the
24	three finalists selected?
25	A. I can say general I was not involved in the

- A. He had mentioned in the discussion that he had some -- a business -- an LLC that had some rental properties and that was just part of the discussion of how much during the week did that LLC -- did he have to commit time to. Same thing with the boards; he had a lot of boards listed, you know, how much did that eat into his workweek, his weekly obligations, and...
- Q. Was there any other differences between Kell Smith and Shawn Mackey which led you to vote for Kell Smith as the executive director?
- A. I felt that Kell came across in his interview as more of a team player, meaning that whatever the job needed, he would do it. He had worn multiple hats obviously in the interim process. Being an old offensive lineman, teamwork is important to me and the guys that say "I" a lot usually were problematic in a team environment and that was very important to me.

What we got in his deposition was very similar to what we had that day in that there was a lot of "I did this," "I did that," and, to me, that just comes across as problematic when you have got to be part of the team, and that was just in my analysis, anyway, something that I have seen having issues in the past when it's about that person and not about the overall good of the group, the team.

able to continue to handle his previous position if he had been named executive director?

- A. I don't recall anybody telling me that.
- Q. So this "I" stuff that you said that Mackey was talking about, that's subjective; right?
- A. Well, I think that any time you're looking at three qualified candidates, at some point, it becomes subjective. People look for certain things. In my situation, I'm looking for teamwork and how people relate to others.

Also, he had moved around a lot, apparently, he talked about in his deposition. To me, that's a red flag that maybe somebody has issues getting along with people. So there is multiple things that went into my decision process. None of it was race.

MS. ROSS: I need to go off the record. (Recess.)

- Q. (By Ms. Ross) So these three candidates that were finalists, did you rank them at all?
 - A. Did I what?
 - Q. Did you rank them?
 - A. Did I rank them?
- Q. Did you rank the candidates?
- A. I didn't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Did -- was there a vote held on each candidate?

1 MS. JOHNSON: Object and instruct him not to 2 answer anything that was discussed during executive 3 session. 4 (Pause.) 5 Q. (By Ms. Ross) So how was Mackey eliminated? 6 MS. JOHNSON: Same objection. Let me clarify 7 that. To the extent that he has information 8 responsive to your question outside of the executive 9 session, he can answer. 10 (By Ms. Ross) How did you eliminate Mackey? Q. 11 A. I didn't eliminate Mackey. 12 Q. Okay. He is not the executive director; correct? 13 14 Uh-huh. A. And he was one of the finalists? 1.5 0. (Nodded.) 16 A. 17 So what do you call it? Q. I chose Kell. 18 Α. 19 Q. And tell me all the reasons why you chose Kell 20 over Mackey. I felt like he would best serve the board for 21 A. 22 what our needs were because we had gone through and kind of had a real hard look at what are the needs for the 23 executive director position based on what they had been 24

historically and what our real true needs are, and part of

25

that was looking at do we still need a doctorate for the position, do -- will a master's suffice, what are the main roles that we were going to expect of the executive director.

And, to me, the most primary -- I guess there were two primary concerns I saw that were the main goal of the executive director and that was being able to have legislative relationships, understand the committee process and get money that is the lifeblood of the MCCB for coordinating with the community colleges and the programs that we support; and the second thing was the relationships with the presidents; and, I guess, third would be the administration around MCCB and the person that I felt would best be able to coordinate those persons that were employed by the MCCB.

And -- but the primary one I saw above -- head and shoulders above the rest was the legislative, getting funding, understanding the bills, the committees, because that was our lifeblood. Without that, the others are -- they fall to the wayside.

So when we said we were, after Mayfield resigned, looking at the requirements and what was going to be necessary for the executive director moving forward and what our priorities were going to be, that led to the change as well as in the salary amount because we were

1.4

getting pressure from multiple points. There was the Accelerate Program and what it was going to be as far as the cannibalizing of the role and part of what we do and how much of that was going to affect funding, and also looking at the amount of the salary was close to \$300,000.

I could understand if you were a president of a university. I could understand if -- you know, my understanding was we're a coordinating board and so that looked excessive, and there was some pushback from other areas of government as to the amount of money that we were spending on our executive director. And so all those things were part of the consideration of the changing and refocusing on what are we looking for.

And, to me, that was the most important, the legislative aspect, and that's something that Kell excelled in. And I thought that he had proven that he was a team player. He had been in the position that he was in for a long time. He was more than willing to help anyone in any capacity, and I just thought overall, based on those things, that he was the best candidate of the three.

- Q. So had Mackey proven that he was not a team player?
- A. Looking at the moving around different positions, looking at his comments during his final interview that I was in, it seemed to be about him, not

1 MS. ROSS: We can take a break. 2 (Recess.) 3 Q. (By Ms. Ross) I'm going to show you a document 4 marked Mackey-37 and ask you if you have ever seen that 5 document before. 6 (Pause.) 7 I think I have seen it before. I don't know when I would have seen it for the first time. 8 9 When does it show that it was revised? Q. 10 A. Shows it was revised multiple times. The last time? 11 0. January 21 of 2022. 12 A. MS. ROSS: Let's mark that. 13 14 (Exhibit 3 marked for identification and attached hereto.) 15 16 Q. (By Ms. Ross) And that would have been after Kell was named interim director -- interim executive 17 director of the MCCB? 18 January 21 of 2022, yes, because it was July 16, 19 20 2021. 21 Did you vote to change the requirements for the Q. 22 executive director's position to eliminate an earned 23 doctorate degree from an accredited university or college 24 in education, school administration or a related field? I believe I did. The minutes will reflect if I 25

actually did or not because, like I said, there's been a few meetings. I didn't go back and look at all the minutes. But I would have voted to remove it because the discussions I recall was that we wanted to open it up to have as many candidates as possible, and I didn't, as my official capacity as a board member, view that a doctorate was necessary to what our main goals were for the reasons I stated previously.

- Q. And what were the main goals?
- A. That -- the legislative -- connection to the legislature and the role that that played in the funding aspect for the MCCB, the relationship with the presidents, and then the -- obviously the administrative role in overseeing the staff and things of that nature that were part of the job description.
- Q. Did you ever question why Kell had only held one position in the agency?
 - A. Did I question why he only had one?
 - Q. Yes.

- A. I did not question it. I was told he did a very good job at it.
- Q. Were you ever told that Mackey did not do a very good job in the different positions that he held at the agency?
 - A. I was never told that he didn't do a

reason that the agency offered for Mackey's nonselection 1 2 as executive director? 3 MS. JOHNSON: Object to the form. He didn't write this document. Calling for a legal conclusion. 4 5 (By Ms. Ross) What does the document say, sir? Q. 6 For what, now? 7 What was -- what legitimate -- what does the 8 agency proffer as its legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason 9 for Mackey's nonselection? 10 MS. JOHNSON: If you find it, you can read 11 directly from the document. 12 (Pause.) So what was the question again? 13 A. 14 MS. ROSS: Can you read the question? 15 (The reporter read the requested portion.) 16 A. As it states on page 5 at the bottom and moving 17 into page 6, so 00042 to 00043, that he was not treated any differently and that there was no pretext to race. I 18 can't answer -- this speaks for the board's response. As 19 far as my individual -- my decision as a board member had 20 21 nothing to do with race. (By Ms. Ross) And looking at page 5, it says 22 0. that there was indisputably a legitimate, 23 24 nondiscriminatory reason for modifying the executive 25 director's job description; correct?

could meet your guy -- or their guy? Because you're saying, at this point, you had never engaged in a conversation with them where they -- this is Johnny McRight and John Pigott, where they discussed "our guy"?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to the form.

A. I never had any discussion. One, I don't have a guy. I viewed all three candidates and I made my decision as a member in my official capacity based on what I thought was the best fit for what we were looking to accomplish. I didn't base it on any preferential treatment. I didn't base it on race. I didn't base it on any of that other than I felt that Kell, because of the legislative connection and the importance of that, that's what I put the most importance on, and so that was the defining factor for me.

MS. ROSS: Can we mark that?

(Exhibit 14 marked for identification and attached hereto.)

MS. ROSS: Let's go off the record for a moment. (Pause.)

- Q. (By Ms. Ross) Have you ever given a deposition before?
- A. I don't think I have ever been deposed. I have had to provide testimony in court related to attorney's fees on numerous occasions.